

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,622	01/08/2002		Marco Nahmias Nanni	07040.0116	9251
7:	590	07/25/2002			
Finnegan, Hei			EXAMINER		
Garrett & Dunr 1300 I Street, N	I.W.		JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C		
Washington, DC 20005-3315				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			173	1733	<del></del>
				DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-M=-					
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
	10/038,622	NANNI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Adrienne C. Johnston	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 January 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 31-60 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 31-60 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit 1733

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 31-35, drawn to a high performance tire whose tread underlayer has substantially constant hardness between 23°C and 100°C, classified in class 152, subclass 209.5.
  - II. Claims 36-40, drawn to a high performance tire whose tread underlayer has substantially constant elastic modulus between 70°C and 100°C, classified in class 152, subclass 209.5.
  - III. Claims 41-53, drawn to a high performance tire whose tread underlayer is made from an elastomer compound comprising reinforcing fibers and hardening resins, classified in class 152, subclass 209.5.
  - IV. Claim 54, drawn to a high performance tire whose tread underlayer has both substantially constant hardness between 23°C and 100°C and substantially constant elastic modulus between 70°C and 100°C, classified in class 152, subclass 209.5.
  - V. Claims 55-60, drawn to a method for improving behavior at high speeds of a high performance tire, classified in class 156, subclass 128.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II-III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a high

Application/Control Number: 10/038,622

Art Unit 1733

performance tire without the particular tread underlayer of Invention  $\Pi$  or Invention  $\Pi$ . See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Inventions IV and I-II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because there is evidence (independent claims 31 and 36) that the particulars of neither subcombination alone is the sole basis for patentability of the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as a high performance tire without the particular tread underlayer of Invention I or without the particular tread underlayer of Invention II.
- 4. Inventions V and I-IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one in which the tread underlayer is applied on the radially-external layer and then the external tread layer is applied on the tread underlayer.
- 5. Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as a high performance tire without the particular tread underlayer of Invention III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. A telephone call was made to Lawrence Galvin on July 24, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (703)308-2059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703)308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9311 for regular communications and (703)872-9310 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10.038,622

Art Unit: 1733

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

advaire C. Jetutine

Adrienne Johnstone July 24, 2002